

Jamie M. (Mike) Tan Director Federal Regulatory AT&T Services, Inc. 1120 20th St. NW, Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone 202 457-3035 Fax 202 457-2062 *E-Mail*: jamie.tan@att.com

July 23, 2010

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission The Portals 445 12th Street SW Washington DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex-Parte Communication

In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45; *In the Matter of Lifeline and Link-Up*, WC Docket No. 03-109.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Yesterday, in response to an e-mail request from Lauren Kravetz of the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Beth Fujimoto (of AT&T) provided a copy of presentation materials used by Ms. Fujimoto during a Lifeline event earlier this week. I am attaching the presentation such that it can be included in the record in the above referenced proceedings.

Pursuant to section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, this letter and the attached are being filed in the above referenced dockets via the FCC's Electronic Comment Filing System. Should you have any questions regarding the above or the attached, please feel free to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

Attachment

Cc (via e-mail): Ms. Lauren Kravetz, Federal Communications Commission



AT&T's Lifeline Modernization Plan

Beth Fujimoto

Executive Director, Public Policy

July 20, 2010

Lifeline must be overhauled before it can be expanded to support broadband

National Broadband Plan: Lifeline observations, recommendations issues referred to Joint Board (FCC 10-72, 5/5/2010)

Outreach, eligibility requirements may be disincentives for provider participation, affecting consumer Lifeline participation

State social service agencies should be more active in outreach and eligibility

Consider centralized database for online certification/verification as cost effective way to minimize waste, fraud and abuse







AT&T's Lifeline Modernization Plan

Comprehensive set of common-sense ways Lifeline and Link-Up programs should be modernized, consistent with National Broadband Plan recommendations

1. Streamline consumer outreach and eligibility processes

Issues today

- · Private sector companies-
 - publicize Lifeline
 - receive consumers' private income information
 - make government assistance eligibility decisions
- Compendium of federal and state rules
- Inconsistent interpretations
- No unified consumer benefits enrollment
- Disincentives for provider participation

Solutions

tate agencies assume responsibility for Lifeline outreach and eligibility, like any other public assistance program

- •Streamlines outreach and enrollment for consumers, improving awareness and service delivery
- Eliminates inappropriate private sector role

Consistent national eligibility rules racilitate national outreach



2. FCC should establish secure national PIN database at USAC to administer Lifeline

- Receives block of PINs from USAC
- Determines customer's Lifeline eligibility
- Provides eligible consumer with unique PIN
- Activates PIN; records customer's name and address in database

Eligible Consumer

 Presents PIN to provider to initiate discounts

- Confirm in database -
 - PIN is activated and matches name and address of customer requesting discount
 - PIN is not "in use"
- If "yes" to both, updates PIN status in database to "in use" and applies Lifeline discounts to customer's account

Lifeline Provider

State Agency



PIN-based database guards against waste, fraud and abuse

Eliminates provider discretion, interpretations

PIN system much easier to audit

Reimbursement directly derived from "in use" PINs

Once PIN "in use" cannot be used again

Dates reimbursements begin/end certain

Eliminates duplicative discounts



More benefits of PIN-based national database



USAC database ensures cost equitably shared by all

Streamlines reimbursements – no line counts

Could be used to further streamline state

Lifeline discounts

Quicker, easier for providers to determine which customers eligible

Better safeguards consumers' private information

Encourages provider participation, and ultimately consumer choice



3. States should perform any verification of consumers' continued eligibility

State agency

- Verifies consumer Lifeline eligibility
- If consumer no longer eligible --
 - Deactivates PIN in database
 - Informs consumer consistent with other benefits notices

USAC

Generates PIN deactivation notice to Lifeline provider

Lifeline Provider • Discontinues Lifeline discount to consumer



4. FCC should establish "Lifeline Provider" (LP) designation

- Separate from existing ETC designation
- Not subject to existing high-cost ETC requirements
- Not subject to § 214 of the federal Act



These common-sense first steps will significantly improve the Lifeline program to help it meet its full potential

